PROPOSED USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS TO SECURE THE AVAILABILITY OF THE GENERIC NAMES "OLENUS" DALMAN, [1827], AND "PARADOXIDES" BRONGNIART, 1822 (CLASS TRILOBITA) FOR USE IN THE SENSE IN WHICH THESE NAMES ARE CUSTOMARILY EMPLOYED

By CHRISTIAN POULSEN

(Universitetes Mineralogisk-Geologiske Institut, Mineralogisk Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark)

(Commission Reference: Z.N.(S.) 623)

The principal object of the present application is to ask the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to use its Plenary Powers to provide a valid basis for the continued use of the generic name Olenus Dalman, [1827] (Class Trilobita). A second but important part of the object of the present application is to provide a secure basis for the current usage of the generic name Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822, for the problems involved in connection with this name are inextricably intermingled with those which arise in connection with the name Olenus Dalman. This latter name is very well known, having been used for about one hundred years as the name for a guide fossil of the Upper Cambrian. This long sustained and universal usage has led to the use of such stratigraphical terms as "Regio Olenorum", "Olenian", "Olenus beds ", "Olenus Series", "Olenus-Stufe", "Olenus-Étage ", etc. From the systematic point of view also the name Olenus Dalman is of importance, for it has given its name to the family OLENIDAE. There can be no doubt whatever that the disappearance of the name Olenus in synonymy—as would be inevitable if the normal provisions of the Règles were to be applied in this case—would lead to immense confusion. Probably of equal importance to that of Olenus, the name Paradoxides is also one which it is highly desirable should be conserved, for it also has been in use for over a hundred years, for a well-known group of species from the Middle Cambrian. It has also been applied for stratigraphical terms such as "Paradoxidian", "Paradixides beds", "Paradoxides-lagren", Paradoxides Series", "Paradoxides-Stufe", etc. It is also the name of the type genus of the family PARADOXIDIDAE and is widely used in faunistic work to denote particular areas of distribution. The nomenclatorial issues involved in the present case are rather complicated and in addition there are bibliographical problems which call for consideration. Particulars are given below of those matters which are strictly relevant to the present application.

I. The Historical Background

2. Before examining the action taken by Brongniart when establishing the nominal genus *Paradoxides*, it is necessary clearly to note the distinction

between two nominal species established by Linnaeus under the same name, both of which enter into this problem. The first of these species was established by Linnaeus in 1753 (Mus. tessin.: 98, pl. iii, figs. 1, 2) under the name Entomolithus paradoxus in the form "paradoxus. 3. Entomolithus Monoculi". It should be noted that in the Tab. Explic. of this 1753 publication the words Entomolithus Monoculi appeared alone. As the name paradoxus, as used in the above publication, was published before the starting point of zoological nomenclature it possesses no status under the Règles. The species so named by Linnaeus was some sixty years later renamed by Wahlenberg (1821, Nova Acta Soc. Sci. upsal. 8:34) who called it Entomostracites paradoxissimus. (There is a problem as to the date to be assigned to Wahlenberg's paper, for a discussion of which see paragraph 3 below.) The second of the Linnean names concerned is Entomolithus paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759 (K. Vetensk. Acad. Handl., Stockholm 20:19, fig. 1). This name has never subsequently been used for this species, presumably because the early workers considered that it was invalid as a junior homonym of Entomolithus paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753. In the paper referred to above (8:38) this species was identified by Wahlenberg with reserve with a new nominal species to which he gave the name Entomostracites spinulosus. Elsewhere however in the same paper (8:29) the same 1759 figure was with much less justification referred to Entomostracites caudatus (Brünnich, 1781). The name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753, being a pre-1758 name does invalidate the name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, which is accordingly the oldest available name for the species concerned. In consequence the name spinulosus Wahlenberg habitually used for this species is an actual or possible junior subjective synonym of paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759. This question is further discussed in paragraph 12 below.

- 3. The next author who has to be considered is Wahlenberg. Before examining his treatment of this group it will be helpful if we dispose of the bibliographical problem which arises in connection with his paper entitled "Petrificata Telluris Svecanae examinata a Georgio Wahlenberg". This was the first paper to be published in volume 8 of the Nova Acta Regiae Societatis Scientiarum upsaliensis and is usually treated as having been published in 1821. There is evidence, however, to show that this paper was available earlier in pre-print form, for Dalman definitely states that it appeared in 1818, while Brongniart without stating when publication took place remarked that he himself did not have his attention drawn to this paper until 1819. In the circumstances the proper course appears to be to accept Dalman's explicit circumstances the proper course appears to be to attribute the new names in this paper of Wahlenberg's to 1821, the year in which that paper was first duly "published".
- 4. In the foregoing paper Wahlenberg realised that the nominal species established under the name *Entomolithus paradoxus* by Linnaeus in 1753 in his *Museum tessinianum* was a composite species and he gave a new name to the original of fig. 1 of the above publication and he referred either to new or to previously described nominal species the specifically unassigned species placed in *Entomolithus* in 1753 which later were illustrated by Linnaeus in his

1759 publication (K. Vetensk.-Akad. Handl., Stockholm 20: pl. 1, figs. 2—4). At the same time he established for these species the nominal genus Entomostracites ([1821], Nova Acta Soc. Sci. upsal. 8:25) for the species so named. Wahlenberg's Entomostracites was not looked upon by him as a new genus, being published as a substitute name (nom. nov.) for the name Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759 (K. Vetensk. Akad. Handl., Stockholm 20:19). He also rejected the specific name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753, as published in the combination Entomolithus paradoxus, giving to the species concerned the new name Entomostracites paradoxissimus (loc. cit. 8:34, pl. 1, fig. 1), and he illustrated a most perfect specimen. In the same paper he gave the name Entomostracites gibbosus (loc. cit. 8:39) to one of the components of the genus Entomolithus of Linnaeus of 1759, again illustrating better material. Finally, as already noted (paragraph 2 above) he gave the name Entomostracites spinulosus (loc. cit. 8:38) to a species which he identified with reserve with that to which in 1759 Linnaeus had applied the name Entomolithus paradoxus.

5. We must now consider the generic name Paradoxides published by Brongniart in 1822 (Paradoxides Brongniart (A.), in Brongniart & Desmarest, Hist. nat. Crust. foss.: 31, 30). Owing to the confused and confusing manner in which this name was introduced and the differences of opinion regarding the species to be accepted as the type species of this genus which have in consequence arisen it is necessary to examine closely the action taken by Brongniart. We have first to note that, when erecting the nominal genus Paradoxides, Brongniart divided it into two sections. In the first of these sections he placed (i) a new nominal species Paradoxides tessini (loc. cit.: 31) (to which it will be necessary to revert a little later), (ii) Entomostracites spinulosus Wahlenberg (8:38) and (iii) Entomostracites scarab[ae]oides Wahlenberg (8:41). In the second of these sections he placed (i) Entomostracites gibbosus Wahlenberg and (ii) Entomostracites laciniatus Wahlenberg (8:34). On the general scope of his genus Paradoxides Brongniart wrote as follows (:30):—

. . . renferme les espèces de la famille des Trilobites, qui ont été décrites par Linné, sous le nom d'Entomolithus paradoxus [i.e. the species so named by Linnaeus, in 1753 in the Mus. tess.], nom qu'on a étendu, comme je l'ai dit, à des animaux que le naturaliste suédois n'avait pas eu en vue, et qu'il n'avait pas même connus. C'est pour respect pour lui et pour rappeler que c'est ici le véritable Entomolithus paradoxus que j'ai donné a ce genre le nom de Paradoxide [this French version of the name being Latinised by Brongniart as Paradoxides on page 31], nom peut-être un peu singulier, mais que rappelle, comme l'avait voulu Linné, les formes singulières de ces animaux.

6. It is necessary now to consider the nominal species Paradoxides tessini which, as already noted, was established by Brongniart in the foregoing paper. On page 31 of his paper Brongniart described Wahlenberg's species Entomostracites paradoxissimus and reproduced, as fig. 1 on plate iv, the figure given by Wahlenberg for this species. Both in this description and on the

legend of his pl. iv Brongniart applied to this species the new name Paradoxides tessini. This entry was accompanied by the following footnote: "Entomostracites paradoxissimus, Wahl. no. 9, tab. I, fig. 1. Entomolithus paradoxus, Linn., Mus. tess., tab. III, fig. 1 (pessima)". On the following page (:32) in a further discussion of his species Paradoxides tessini, Brongniart observed: "Malgré l'imperfection de la figure de l'Entomolithe décrit par Linné dans le muséum de Tessin, nous ne pouvons douter, en la comparant à celle de M. Wahlenberg, que Linné n'ait décrit le même animal. M. Wahlenberg n'en doute pas non plus, puisqu'il donne cet Entomolithe du Museum Tessinianum, comme synonyme du sien". It is thus evident that the name tessini Brongniart, like paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, is a substitute name for paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753 (Mus. tess.), as published in the combination Entomolithus paradoxus. The name tessini Brongniart is therefore invalid as being a junior objective synonym of paradoxissimus Wahlenberg.

- 7. The next name which has to be considered is the generic name Olenus Dalman (K. Vetensk-Acad. Handl., Stockholm 1826 (1): 150). The paper in which this name was published formed part of the volume for the year 1826 but was not actually published until 1827, to which year therefore the name Olenus Dalman should be attributed. In this paper Dalman discussed a number of names published by previous authors and took exception to them on various grounds. In the present case he proposed the name Olenus expressly as a substitute name for the name Paradoxides Brongniart. The name Olenus is therefore a junior objective synonym of the name Paradoxides Brongniart.
- 8. There is one other generic name which has to be considered in this connection. This is Parabolina Salter, 1849 (Mem. geol. Surv. United Kingd., Figures, Decade 2: pl. ix, page 2 of expl.). The type species of this genus (by monotypy) is Entomostracites spinulosus Wahlenberg, [1818], which, as we have seen (paragraph 4 above), is a nominal species, the author of which identified with reserve with that to which in 1759 (nec 1753) Linnaeus gave the name Entomolithus paradoxus.

II. Discussion of the nomenclatorial issues involved

9. The generic name "Entomostracites" Wahlenberg, 1821: The nominal genus Entomostracites was established by Wahlenberg as a substitute for the name Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759, and comprised fourteen species, including those involved in the present case. Since no type species was designated for either of these genera by Wahlenberg and none has been selected by any subsequent author, any of the species originally included by Linnaeus could be selected as type species. So long as the name Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759, retains its status of availability, it constitutes a serious potential threat under the Law of Priority both to the name Paradoxides Brongniart and to the name Olenus Dalman. The name Entomolithus Linnaeus has not been

used for over a century, and its re-introduction at the present time would be bound to give rise to serious confusion and would be open to the strongest objection. Accordingly, as a first step in the stabilisation of the nomenclature of this group, the name Entomolithus Linnaeus should be suppressed by the International Commission under its Plenary Powers, being then placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology. The substitute name Entomostracites Wahlenberg, 1821, should at the same time be suppressed by the International Commission under its Plenary Powers for it also has not been used for over one hundred years and its re-introduction would cause fully as great confusion as would the resurrection of the older name Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759. Another name which has been considered, namely Entomolithus Gesner, 1758 (Tract. Petrif.: 57), is fortunately already invalid, the Commission having suppressed for nomenclatorial purposes in Opinion 230 (1954, loc. cit. 4:231-238) the work in which it was published. No action now requires therefore to be taken by the Commission in regard to this name beyond placing it also on the Official Index.

10. Type species of the genus "Paradoxides" Brongniart, 1822: Under a decision recently taken by the International Commission and since embodied (as I am informed by Mr. Hemming, Secretary to the Commission) in Declaration 22 (now in the press)1 Rule (a) in Article 30 of the Règles (Rule relating to the designation of a type species for a genus by the original author of the generic name) is, like Rule (g) (selection of a type species by a later author) to be "rigidly construed". In these circumstances it is evident that Brongniart cannot be regarded as having designated a type species for his genus Paradoxides, for, although he clearly erected this genus for "le véritable Entomolithus paradoxus" of Linnaeus, 1753, he placed other nominal species in this genus and did not explicitly designate any of the included species as the type species. It is necessary therefore to examine the literature to determine which of Brongniart's included nominal species was first selected as the type species of Paradoxides by a later author. The first author expressly to deal with this subject was Barrande who in 1852 (Syst. silur. Centre Bohême 1:362) wrote as follows: "Alex. Brongniart fonde le genre Paradoxides pour renfermer les espèces décrites par Linné sous le nom d'Entomolithus paradoxus [Barrande's italics]. Il prend pour type, avec le nom de Parad. tessini, la forme nommeé Ent. paradoxissimus par Wahlenberg, dont il reproduit la figure . . . ". Barrande's statement in this passage that Brongniart designated a type species for his genus Paradoxides was, as we have seen, incorrect. At this point, however, it is necessary to recall the decision by the Thirteenth International Congress of Zoology, Paris, 1948 that "an author is to be treated as having selected a given nominal species to be the type species of a given nominal genus . . . when he does no more than state that a specified such species is the type species of the nominal genus concerned, irrespective . . . of whether he states or implies, either correctly

Declaration 22, which is now in page proof will be published shortly as Part 12 of Volume 12 of the Opinions and Declarations Series.

or otherwise, that that nominal species had been selected by some previous author to be the type species of that nominal genus . . . , provided in such a case that the author concerned makes it clear that he himself accepts, for whatever reason, the species in question as the type species of the genus concerned" (1950, Bull. zool. Nomencl. 4: 181-182). Applying the foregoing provision to the present case, we find that, although Barrande was in error when he stated that Brongniart had himself designated Paradoxides tessini Brongniart as the type species of Paradoxides, his own action in accepting that species as the type species of that genus constitutes under the Règles a valid selection by himself of that species as the type species of Paradoxides. Since Paradoxides tessini Brongniart, 1822, is a junior objective synonym of Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821, both of which names were cited by Barrande when making the type selection described above and also by Brongniart when establishing the genus Paradoxides, Barrande is, under Declaration 21 (now in the press)2 to be treated as having selected the type species of this genus under the name paradoxissimus rather than under that of its junior objective synonym tessini. The foregoing type selection is in complete harmony with the current usage of the name Paradoxides. The original specimen of Wahlenberg's restored illustration of Entomostracites paradoxissimus (1821; pl. 1, fig. 1) is preserved in the Palaeozoological Department of the Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm, where it bears the Registered Number Ar. 46147. It was re-figured in 1953 by A. H. Westergård (Sver. Geol. Unders. (Ser. C) No. 520: pl. viii, fig. 2). This specimen is hereby selected as the lectotype of the nominal species Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821. The Commission is now asked to place the generic name Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology with Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821, as type species by selection by Barrande (1852).

11. Type species of the genus "Olenus" Dalman, [1827]: As has already been explained (paragraph 7 above) the name Olenus Dalman, [1827], is no more than a substitute name for the name Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822. As such, it takes automatically as its type species the same species as that which is the type species of the genus Paradoxides. Accordingly, under the Règles the type species of Olenus Dalman is Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg and the name Olenus Dalman falls as a junior objective synonym of Paradoxides Brongniart. For the reasons explained in the opening paragraph of the present application such a disposal of the name Olenus Dalman would give rise to the greatest possible confusion and some means must be found for preventing this situation from arising. This end can only be achieved by the use by the International Commission of its Plenary Powers to designate as the type species of this genus a species in harmony with current usage, and this is accordingly the action which the Commission is now asked to take. species most suitable for designation as the type species of this genus is Entomostracites gibbosus Wahlenberg, 1821 (discussed in paragraph 4 above).

² Declaration 21, which is now in page proof, will be published shortly as Part 11 of Volume 12 of the Opinions and Declarations Series.

The generic name Olenus, Dalman, [1827], so stabilised should be placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology and the name gibbosus Wahlenberg, 1821, as published in the combination Entomostracites gibbosus, should be placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

- 12. Name for the type species of the genus "Parabolina" Salter, 1849: As has already been explained (paragraph 8 above) the type species of this genus is the nominal species Entomostracites spinulosus Wahlenberg, 1821. This species has always been known by this name, but as explained in paragraph 4, it may be only a junior subjective synonym of the much older name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, as published in the combination Entomolithus paradoxus, a name, however, which has never been used by any author since the time of Linnaeus. On the ground of the need for maintaining continuity in nomenclature it would be most objectionable if a long-neglected name such as paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, were to be substituted for the name spinulosus Wahlenberg which has been in use for over a hundred years. In the present case there is a further, and even more potent reason why such a substitution should be avoided. For the name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, was rejected by the zoologists of the day because it was a homonym of the name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753, published in the same combination (i.e. the name applied by Linnaeus to the species, of which now the oldest available name is paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821) and, while it is true that under the Régles this objection no longer holds good—in view of the fact that the name Entomolithus paradoxus Linnaeus, 1753, possesses no status of availability because of having been published before the starting point of zoological nomenclature (as defined by Article 26 of the Règles)—the re-introduction in this group of a specific name consisting of the word "paradoxus" could not fail to give rise to the most serious confusion. These objections are greatly heightened by the fact that it is not clearly established that the nominal species Entomolithus paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, and Entomostracites spinulosus Wahlenberg, 1821, represent the same taxonomic unit, with the result that, so long as the name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, retains the status of availability, there will be a risk that the species concerned will be called by the name spinulosus Wahlenberg by some specialists and by the name paradoxus Linnaeus by other workers. The International Commission is accordingly asked to prevent this confusion from arising by using its Plenary Powers to suppress the name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, thus making the familiar name spinulosus Wahlenberg, 1821, unquestionably the oldest available name for the species concerned. As part of this arrangement the Commission is asked to place the foregoing name so protected on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology and at the same time to place the generic name Parabolina Salter, 1849, with the above species as type species, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.
- 13. Other action in regard to generic and specific names required: In addition to the action recommended in paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 above, the following action in relation to generic and specific names is required in order to dispose of all matters in regard to such names involved in the present case:

- (1) The following invalid generic names should be placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology: (a) Olenus Dejean, 1835, Cat. Coléopt. Coll. Dejean (1835 Ed.): 439 (a nomen nudum); (b) Olenus Thomson, 1857, Arch. ent. Paris 1:157 (a name for a genus of the Order Coleoptera (Class Insecta) which is a junior homonym of Olenus Dalman, [1827], one of the generic names dealt with in the present application, and which has as such been replaced by the name Balius Guérin, 1857, in Thomson, Arch. ent. Paris 1:261); (c) Paradoxides Motschulsky, 1851, Bull. Soc. imp. Nat. Moscou 24(2): 510 (a name for a genus of the Order Psocoptera (Class Insecta) which is a junior homonym of Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822 (one of the generic names dealt with in the present application) and which, as such, has been replaced by the generic name Paradoxenus Motschulsky, 1853, Etudes ent. 1:19); (d) Paradoxites Goldfuss, 1843, Neues Jahrs B. f. Min. 1843; 347 (an Invalid Emendation of Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822); (2) the following invalid specific name should be placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology: tessini Brongniart, 1822, as published in the combination Paradoxides tessini (see paragraph 5 above).
- 14. Family-group-name problems: As explained in the opening paragraph of the present application, the generic names Paradoxides Brongniart and Olenus Dalman are both type genera of families. The family names concerned should be placed on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology at the same time that the foregoing generic names are placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology. The generic name Olenus Dalman was taken by Burmeister (H.), in 1843 (Die Organisation der Trilobiten, Berlin: 47) as the base for the family-group name OLENIDAE. In erecting this nominal unit, Burmeister recognised Paradoxides as a genus distinct from Olenus. The generic name Paradoxides was first taken as the base for a family-group name by Emmrich (H.[F.]) in 1844 (Zur Naturgeschichte der Trilobiten, Program zür offentlicher Prüfung . . . Meiningen: 17), who introduced the name PARADOXIDEN as the name for a subfamily. Emmrich, however, gave as examples of the genus Paradoxides only P. qibbosus and P. latus, both species which nowadays are referred to the family OLENIDAE; further, he took (: 18) O. tessini to represent the genus Olenus in his family olenen. It would thus be misleading and historically incorrect to treat Emmrich as having established the family PARADOXIDIDAE as correctly typified by Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, the type species of its type genus. I accordingly ask the International Commission to use its Plenary Powers to suppress the family-group name PARADOXIDEN Emmrich, 1844, for the purposes both of the Law of Priority and of the Law of Homonymy. This action will clear the ground for the acceptance of the family-group name PARADOXIDES introduced for use in the correct sense by Corda (A.J.C.) in 1847 (in Hawle (I.) & Corda (A.J.C.) Prodrom einer Monographie der böhmischen Trilobiten: 11). In this work Corda established a number of new families, the names of which he formed by adding the termination "-ides" to what he regarded as the root (or the stem) of the generic name concerned. In the case of the family-group name based upon the generic name Paradoxides the resulting family-group name, as formed

by Corda, was paradoxides. In the family so established Corda placed nineteen genera, including the genus *Paradoxides* in which he placed seven species. So far as is known, the generic name *Parabolina* Salter, 1849, has not been taken as the base for a family-group name.

Recommendations

- 15. For the reasons set forth in the present application the International Commission is now asked:—
 - (1) to use its Plenary Powers :-
 - (a) to suppress the under-mentioned names for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy:—
 - (i) the generic name Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759;
 - (ii) the generic name Entomostracites Wahlenberg, 1821;
 - (iii) the specific name paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, as published in the combination Entomolithus paradoxus;
 - (b) to suppress the family-group name PARADOXIDEN Emmrich (H.[F.]), 1844 (type genus: Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822, as based upon an erroneously determined type species) for the purposes both of the Law of Priority and of the Law of Homonymy;
 - (c) to set aside all designations or indications of type species for the genus *Olenus* Dalman, [1827], made prior to the Ruling now asked for and, having done so, to designate the nominal species *Entomostracites gibbosus* Wahlenberg, 1821, to be the type species of the above genus;
 - (2) to place the under-mentioned generic names on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822 (gender: masculine) (type species, by selection by Barrande (1852): Entomostracites paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821, as defined by the lectotype selection made in paragraph 10 of the present application);
 - (b) Olenus Dalman, [1827] (gender: masculine) (type species, by designation under the Plenary Powers under (1)(c) above: Entomostracites gibbosus Wahlenberg, 1821);
 - (c) Parabolina Salter, 1849 (gender: feminine) (type species, by monotypy: Entomostracites spinulosus Wahlenberg, 1821);

- (3) to place the under-mentioned specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) gibbosus Wahlenberg, 1821, as published in the combination Entomostracites gibbosus (specific name of type species of Olenus Dalman, [1827]);
 - (b) paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821, as published in the combination Entomostracites paradoxissimus, defined as specified in (2)(a) above (specific name of type species of Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822);
 - (c) spinulosus Wahlenberg, 1821, as published in the combination Entomostracites spinulosus (specific name of type species of Parabolina Salter, 1849);
- (4) to place the under-mentioned generic names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) Entomolithus Gesner, 1758 (a name published in a work suppressed for nomenclatorial purposes under the Plenary Powers);
 - (b) Entomolithus Linnaeus, 1759, as suppressed under the Plenary Powers under (1)(a)(i) above;
 - (e) Entomostracites Wahlenberg (G.), 1821, as suppressed under the Plenary Powers under (1)(a)(ii) above;
 - (d) Olenus Dejean, 1835 (a junior homonym of Olenus Dalman, [1827]);
 - (e) Olenus Thomson, 1857 (a junior homonym of Olenus Dalman, [1827]);
 - (f) Paradoxides Motschulsky, 1851 (a junior homonym of Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822);
 - (g) Paradoxites Goldfuss, 1843 (an Invalid Emendation of Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822);
- (5) to place the under-mentioned specific names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) paradoxus Linnaeus, 1759, as published in the combination Entomolithus paradoxus, as suppressed under the Plenary Powers under (1)(a)(ii) above;
 - (b) tessini Brongniart, 1822, as published in the combination Paradoxides tessini (a junior objective synonym of paradoxissimus Wahlenberg, 1821, as published in the combination Entomostracites paradoxissimus);

- (6) to place the under-mentioned family-group names on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) OLENIDAE Burmeister, 1843 (type genus: Olenus Dalman, [1827]);
 - (b) PARADOXIDIDAE (correction of PARADOXIDES Corda (A.J.C.), 1847 (type genus: Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822);
- (7) to place the under-mentioned family-group names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Family-Group Names in Zoology:—,
 - (a) PARADOXIDEN Emmrich (H.[F.]), 1844 (type genus: Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822), as suppressed under the Plenary Powers under (1)(b) above;
 - (b) PARADOXIDES Corda (A.J.C.), 1847 (type genus: *Paradoxides* Brongniart, 1822) (an Erroneous Original Spelling for PARADOXIDIDAE).
- 16. I should like to take this opportunity to thank my friend Dr. C. J. Stubblefield, F.R.S., of the Geological Survey of Great Britain for the assistance which he has kindly given in clearing up, on my behalf, a number of bibliographical and other matters on which information was asked for by the Secretary to the Commission in the course of the preparation of the present application.